Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,099	CHOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUSANNA MOORE	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>AM</u> <u>AFTER A NONFINAL OF</u>	
(1) <u>SUSANNA MOORE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>LAURA MADDEN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 January 2011	Time: <u>10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER THE EXAMINER TOLD APPLICANT THE SUBSTITUTION ON SINCE THE DEFINITION IN CLAIM 1 STATED "ARYLALKYL." & 6 LACK ANTECEDENT BASIS, SAID SUBSTITUENTS ARE "2-ethyl,4-amino-cyclohexyl-formanibyl,4-amino-cyclohexyl-formanibyl and the cyclohexyl-formanibyl and the cyclohexyl-formanibyl and TC-1-ealkenyl, C1-ealkenyl, TeBED TO BE REPLACED WITH "C2 AND 2. APPLICANT STATED SHE WOULD CALL BACK AFTER	"HE PHENYL DEFINED FOR R1 FURTHERMORE, SEVERAL SUE (methyl- amino-carbamoyl)-ethyl, niomethyl, (2-dimethylamino-eth -formyl-pyrrolidin-2yl-caboxylic ac 6alkenyl, C2-6alkynyl" IN THE D	LACK ANTECEDENT BASIS BSTITUENTS IN CLAIMS 4 AND 2-(ethyl-amino-carbamoyl)- nylcarbamoyl)-ethyl, 2-(1-methyl cid." MOREOVER, THE TERMS EFINITION OF R2 IN CLAIMS 1
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Susanna Moore/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)